

WAKEFIELD PERMANENT BUILDING COMMITTEE

WAKEFIELD PUBLIC SAFETY MEETING MINUTES

Date:	Thursday, April 1, 2021
Location:	Virtual "Zoom" Meeting
Time:	7:00pm
Prepared BY:	Shane Nolan – LeftField PM

Name		Present
Joseph B. Bertrand	Chair, Permanent Building Committee	✓
Timothy Demers	Permanent Building Committee	✓
Charles L. Tarbell	Permanent Building Committee	✓
Jerry Hammersley	Permanent Building Committee	×
Jason Cohen	Permanent Building Committee	✓
Janine R. Fabiano	Permanent Building Committee	×
John McDonald	Permanent Building Committee	✓
Tom Galvin	Permanent Building Committee	✓
Marc Moccio	Permanent Building Committee (non-voting)	✓
Philip Renzi	Permanent Building Committee (non-voting)	✓
Wayne Hardacker	Permanent Building Committee (non-voting)	✓
Steven Skory	Chief, Wakefield Police Department	✓
Craig Calabrese	Deputy Chief, Wakefield Police Department	\checkmark
Michael Sullivan	Chief, Wakefield Fire Department	\checkmark
Lynn Stapleton	Leftfield Project Management	\checkmark
Shane Nolan	Leftfield Project Management	\checkmark
Jim Rogers	Leftfield Project Management	✓
Janet Slemenda	HKT Architects	✓
Any Dunlap	HKT Architects	×
Scott Woodward	HKT Architects	✓
David Capaldo	Bond Building	✓
Greg Williamson	Bond Building	\checkmark

I. Joe Bertrand opened the Public Safety portion of the meeting at approx. 7:15pm.

II. Janet Slemenda presented an overview of the Schematic Design

Geotech Report

JS noted there were 3 borings done by the GeoTech Engineers, 1 in the area of the Sallyport addition, 1 at the new 3 story addition and 1 at the new building main entrance. The borings showed the existing subsurface conditions are not suitable to support standard foundations. The report recommends the excavation of unsuitable material and backfilling with approved material at the Sallyport and 3 story addition. It was noted that temporary earth support will be required, and that structural stability of the



existing building need to be further evaluated. A piling system is recommended at the main entrance. Groundwater was observed in the borings and will need to be addressed during excavation work.

Code Report

There is no change to the building occupancy or use. The scope of work is less than 50% of the floor area and is classified as Level 2 Alteration. The proposed value of the new work exceeds 30% of the buildings assessed value and therefore must meet ADA standards throughout. The current building appears meets these standards and it is not expected that this will be an issue. No additional work is anticipated on the Fire Department side.

It was noted that the Stretch Energy Code is expected to be introduced in Wakefield this Spring. Therefore, the new additions will need to comply with these standards. HKT will confirm the extent of what is required.

Hazardous Materials

The Hazmat Consultant was on site and took bulk samples. No samples came back as containing ACM. There were two areas highlighted. Caulking at the windows in the Tower. JL will confirm if this is required to be tested. The damp proofing on the existing 1950's foundation walls is assumed to contain asbestos. This will be tested and means of addressing will be confirmed with the consultant.

Envelope Review

The Envelope Consultant was on site to survey the exterior of the building. Generally, the exterior masonry and mortar is in good shape. There is existing efflorescence visible on the front side of the Police Station which should be cleaned. It was noted that the caulking and sealant throughout appears in poor shape and is failing. The Consultant will make a recommendation on how to address this. It was also noted that the presence of weep holes in the precast and masonry is inconsistent, some are missing, some appear to be incorrectly placed/installed. Further review is required. Some of the existing lintels appear to be deflecting. This will need to be reviewed by the Structural Engineer to determine if remedial work is required. The retaining wall at the Apparatus apron is failing. The report will address this, but it is anticipated that the wall needs to be replaced.

Some exterior issues may be considered capital maintenance and outside the scope if the project. These items should be tracked separately, and it will be determined whether they are incorporated into this projects scope.

Chief Skory asked whether the lack of weep holes in some locations could inhibit water egress and cause mold. Chief Skory noted there is no evidence of this, but it is a concern. JL will discuss with the Envelope Consultant.

Documents included in the Schematic Design package

JL noted that the SD package includes a series of drawings, narrative and reports. These will be sent to the Estimators to prepare their estimates. There is a pre estimate meeting with the Estimators on Monday to discuss schedule and format. It is expected that SD estimates will be ready to present to the PBC an April 29th. Chip Tarbell requested that meeting note from Mondays meeting be districted to the PBC for record.

JL did a quick page flip through the SD drawing set.



Chip Tarbell asked about how the phasing that will be addressed in the SD estimates. SN and JS noted that this will be discussed with the Estimators at Monday's meeting but that a meaningful and final phasing plan will be developed with Bond during the Design Development phase.

Chief Skory asked about the double height space in the staircase known as the "penalty box". JL noted it is unlikely this space can be infilled and used for storage as the entire stairway is considered a protected structure.

Chip Tarbell made a motion to accept the Schematic Design presented and to have the documents sent to the Estimators for preparation of Schematic Design Estimates. Seconded by Jason Cohen. On a roll call vote the motion was approved unanimously.

III. Public Outreach

Chip Tarbell asked about a public outreach strategy. Chief Skory noted that he would discuss with the Town's Communications Manager. The information would need to be provided by LeftField and should be high level including renderings, timeline etc. to keep the public informed of project status and progress.

IV. Next Permanent Building Committee was schedule for April 15, 2021 at 7:00pm.

Chip Tarbell made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:20pm. Seconded by Jason Cohen. Approved unanimously.

Attachments:

• 04/01/21 HKT Architects Presentation

RENOVATIONS AND ADDITIONS TO THE WAKEFIELD PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDING

WAKEFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS April 1, 2021



AGENDA

Permanent Building Committee

- Approval of Schematic Design
 - Reports
 - Geotechnical Report
 - Code Report
 - Asbestos Containing Materials Identification
 - Preliminary Envelope Review
 - Schematic level documents prepared for estimate
- Next Steps



- 3 borings completed to depths between 26 and 27 feet:
- B21-1: Sally Port to 26'
 - Surface material: 2" asphalt paving not suitable bearing stratum
 - Overlying fill: 9.7' fine to coarse sand + gravel with some silt not suitable for supporting foundations – Excavate and replace
- B21-2: 3 story addition to 27'
 - Surface material: 3.6" topsoil not suitable bearing stratum
 - Overlying fill: 11.8' fine to coarse sand + gravel with some silt with 1' of organic silt between 9-10' not suitable for supporting foundations Excavate and replace



- B21-3: New Entry addition to 27'
 - Surface material: 3" asphalt paving not suitable bearing stratum
 - Overlying fill: 8.7' fine to coarse sand with trace amounts of silt, coal, coarse gravel, ceramics, brick and wood not suitable for supporting foundations
- Glacial Till was encounter in all three borings: Thickness exceeded depth of borings – fine to coarse sand, trace coarse gravel + silt with some boulders – considered a suitable bearing stratum for foundations
- Groundwater: Observed within B21-2 + B21-3 at depths of 9.4' + 7.5' below the existing ground surface (water is introduced in each borehole)



- Implications
 - Reuse of Fill may be possible in the areas of B21-1 + B21-2 but not in B21-3 where construction debris was observed
 - Use of imported Granular Fill and/or Sand Gravel Fill is anticipated
 - Foundation Systems:
 - B21-1 column + wall footings bearing on suitable bearing materials
 - B21-2 column + wall footings bearing on suitable bearing materials; groundwater control is likely during construction plus controls for groundwater ingress
 - B21-3 deep foundation system piles to maximize capacity
- Seismic Design Category: C with a risk category IV
- Liquefaction Evaluation: Soils are not susceptible to liquefaction at this time



- Existing Foundations: Shallow spread footings + deep caissons; structures respond differently to loading with respect to settlement
 - Differential settlement should be anticipated; movement joints should be incorporated to allow for movement
- Foundations, bearing materials, wet conditions details are specifically noted for each addition
- Slabs details are specifically noted
- Excavation Support: Temporary earth support system will be required; further evaluation for structural stability of 1950 building may be required
- Suitable Construction Materials are detailed
- Ground Modifications: Coordinate with structural engineer



SD Code Summary Report

- Reconfiguration of space + an addition. Work comprises less than 50% of the floor area so is classified as a Level 2 Alteration. Work area will not exceed 50% of the floor area on any floor. There is no change in occupancy.
- Proposed uses remain the same: A-3, B, I-3 Condition 4, R-2, S-1 + S-2
 - Detention center must maintain 2-hour separation + fire alarm requirements are applicable to new I-3 spaces
 - Ammunition quantity must not exceed standards that may require an update
 - Most likely Type IIIB construction
 - Fire Alarm and Detection + Sprinklers: Maintain, extend + modify
 - Perimeter Frontage remains
 - Height + Area is compliant



SD Code Summary Report

- Egress: 2 means of egress; continuity of egress
- All new work to be fully accessible
 - Building is assessed at \$7,703,900 because renovation/additions will exceed 30% threshold the full building must comply
 - Accessible entry, toilet rooms, handrails, vertical access, maneuvering clearance at door, accessible seating
- ADA standards for employee only spaces must be met
- Plumbing: Fixtures appear sufficient to meet code
- Mechanical: reconfigured + new space must have natural or mechanical ventilation + existing spaces that are altered must meet basic ventilation
- Energy Conservation: Stretch Energy Code (pending)



Asbestos Containing Materials Identification Survey

- Limited identification survey; no destructive or roof testing
- Inspection of accessible ACM, collection of bulk samples + testing; analyzed by a MA licensed laboratory
- Findings: 56 bulk samples from original 1950 and 2003 buildings: ceiling tiles, joint compounds, grey duct sealant, fireproofing vinyl tile, yellow glue for vinyl tile, cove base, old black mastic residue, CMU and interior door caulking, grey sink coating, cement deck plank, PD original old roofing, interior framing caulking, exterior window framing caulking, exterior door framing caulking
 - No Asbestos Detected
 - Observations and Recommendations:
 - Old residue caulking observed on tower windows too high to access
 - Damproofing on exterior walls at 1950 building was assumed to exist
 + assumed to contain asbestos

PRELIMINARY ENVELOPE REVIEW

- Masonry in good shape
 - Some visible efflorescence
- Mortar in very good shape









PRELIMINARY ENVELOPE REVIEW

- Sealant is failing or nearing failure throughout building
- Backer rod is probably missing







PRELIMINARY ENVELOPE REVIEW

- Weep holes appear inconsistent; missing where they are expected (will review documents)
- Flashing evident but no weeps holes







PRELIMINARY ENVELOPE REVIEW

- Other issues
 - Gable at rising masonry wall might require more investigation
 - Lintel deflection









PRELIMINARY ENVELOPE REVIEW

- Other issues
 - Low wall at apparatus apron
 - Paint at lintels











Documents for Estimate: Drawings + Narratives

- Civil/Site
- Landscape
- Architectural
- Structural
- MEPFP



Estimate Process

- Documents sent to Professional Cost Estimator + CM if approval is received
 - Bidding climate has seen fluctuations over the past year that may affect results
- If approved there will be a meeting this Monday to discuss details of estimating process
- 3-week timeframe for Professional Cost Estimator
 - Estimator completes initial work; HKT Team reviews individual sections to confirm scope + ask questions; Estimator refines document based on comments + resubmits
- Reconciliation Session with CM is anticipated followed by final edits
- Presentation of Estimate to Permanent Building Committee

















































HK.

24 Bala tal Sitteen, Suite 301 Charlostown, MA 0712 T: 817 278,8545 F: 917 778,8578 WMM, titlarchilocts.com

Rode of Jones do The real Rode on Data

HKu

24 Bolz od Sitnen, Suite 301 Charlestown MA 0712 T: 617 276.6545 F. 617 776.6578 www.oktorchitocts.com

Rode at Rode at a

Registrationa

Consultante

SCHEMATIC DESIGN

rrawa Viveolineto Public Safety Buding Waterleu MA Dreeo Town of Waterleid Waterleid, MA

24 Rolt of Rimon, Suite 301 Chartesteen MA 07126 Tr 317 776,8545 F 917 776,8578 www.interchildests.com

Registrationa

SCHEMATIC DESIGN

river Washeld Public Safety Burding 1. Undon St. Ma 01860 Town th Washeld Wakefield, MA

SCHEMATIC DESIGN

LEVEL 2A

Checker Storetz

24 Roland Street, Suite 301 Charlestown, MA, 52 (21) T: 617 778,0545 + 617 776,6578 www.thols.citects.com Reference.k Stre. Reference.

Regebalities

Consultants

Protect Waterleid Public Safety Building Waterleid, MA 01880 Town of Waterleid Waterleid, MA

Construction of the second sec

Registrations

General tanks

Regitations Cookantants

24 Boland Streat, Suite 001 Charlestorn, MA 12/191 Tr 017 776.0545 + 617 776.6578 www.tkonutilitets.com Reference Arx Refer Xc

Nume Watefield Public Safety Building Watefield, MA 01880 Town of Watefield Watefield, MA

24 Baland Streat, Suite 301 Charestown, MA 28 (21) T: 617 776 8545 +: 617 776 8578 www.tkcs.chitests.com

leisteke kra leis X.

Regebalicos

Grossitan

SCHEMATIC DESIGN

rvw Wakefield Public Safety Building 1 Union 5 Wakefield Town of Wakefield Wakefield, MA

AND LEASE AND LE

NEXT STEPS

- Current schedule is that while estimates are being prepared design team will continue work
 - Review Schematic Phase Documents
 - Begin Design Development Documents
- Should estimate exceed budget, discussion will need to begin immediately on what changes will need to be made
- Other Issues that will affect timeline:
 - Early Release Packages
 - Changes to foundations or structure